

**SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 1.** Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) Collinearity Screening.

VIF	Ln-magnesium	Ln-copper
Gender	1.2	1.2
Race	1.1	1.1
Age	1	1
PIR	1.1	1.1
BMI	1.1	1.1
Education level	1.3	1.2
Physical activity	1	1
Smoking	1.2	1.2
Drinking	1.2	1.2
Hypertension	1.1	1.1
Hypercholesterolemia	1	1
Diabetes	1.1	1.1
Heart attack	1.1	1.1

PIR, poverty-income ratio; BMI, body mass index.

**SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 2.** Threshold Effect Analysis of Model 2.

Exposure	Ln-copper	P-value	Ln-magnesium	<i>p</i> -value
	OR (95% CI)		OR (95% CI)	
<b>Model 1</b>				
A straight-line effect	0.730 (0.598, 0.892)	0.002	0.677 (0.543, 0.845)	0.0006
<b>Model 2</b>				
Fold points (K)	0.751		6.141	
Less than the K-segment effect 1	0.684 (0.550, 0.851)	0.0006	0.629 (0.499, 0.792)	< 0.0001
Greater than the K-segment effect 2	1.231 (0.613, 2.471)	0.560	3.368 (0.783, 14.480)	0.103
Effect size difference of 2 versus 1	1.800 (0.828, 3.912)	0.138	5.357 (1.163, 24.679)	0.031
Equation predicted values at break points	-2.859 (-3.041, -2.676)		-2.887 (-3.064, -2.710)	
Log likelihood ratio tests		0.170		0.052

OR, odds ratio; CI: confidence interval.

**SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 3.** Threshold Effect Analysis of Model 3.

Exposure	Ln-copper	<i>p</i> -value	Ln-magnesium	<i>p</i> -value
	OR (95% CI)		OR (95% CI)	
<b>Model 1</b>				
A straight-line effect	0.752 (0.616, 0.918)	0.005	0.704 (0.564, 0.879)	0.002
<b>Model 2</b>				
Fold points (K)	0.751		6.140	
Less than the K-segment effect 1	0.708 (0.569, 0.882)	0.002	0.654 (0.518, 0.824)	0.0003
Greater than the K-segment effect 2	1.192 (0.594, 2.392)	0.621	3.408 (0.784, 14.805)	0.102
Effect size difference of 2 versus 1	1.683 (0.775, 3.655)	0.188	5.214 (1.120, 24.273)	0.035
Equation predicted values at break points	-2.859 (-3.041, -2.676)		-2.886 (-3.063, -2.709)	
Log likelihood ratio tests		0.220		0.056

OR, odds ratio; CI: confidence interval.

**SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 4.** Threshold Effect Analysis of Model 4.

Exposure	Ln-copper		Ln-magnesium	
	OR (95% CI)	p -value	OR (95% CI)	p -value
<b>Model 1</b>				
A straight-line effect	0.784 (0.640, 0.959)	0.018	0.749 (0.597, 0.939)	0.012
<b>Model 2</b>				
<b>Fold points (K)</b>	0.751		6.141	
<b>Less than the K-segment effect 1</b>	0.743 (0.595, 0.929)	0.009	0.693 (0.547, 0.879)	0.003
<b>Greater than the K-segment effect 2</b>	1.164 (0.569, 2.382)	0.678	3.819 (0.836, 17.451)	0.084
<b>Effect size difference of 2 versus 1</b>	1.566 (0.706, 3.476)	0.270	5.509 (1.122, 27.053)	0.036
<b>Equation predicted values at break points</b>	-2.859 (-3.041, -2.676)		-2.887 (-3.064, -2.710)	
<b>Log likelihood ratio tests</b>		0.297		0.055

OR, odds ratio; CI: confidence interval.

**SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 5.** The Associations of Dietary Copper Intake, Along with GNRI and SIRI, with Stroke Risk.

Exposure	OR (95% CI), p -value	
	Model 5	
<b>Ln-copper</b>	0.765 (0.607, 0.963)	0.023
<b>Ln-copper quartile</b>		
Q1	Ref	
Q2	0.811 (0.637, 1.033)	0.089
Q3	0.917 (0.717, 1.172)	0.488
Q4	0.724 (0.547, 0.959)	0.025
P for trend	0.067	
<b>SIRI</b>	0.002	
<b>GNRI</b>	0.011	
<b>GNRI categorical</b>		
< 98	Ref	
≥ 98	0.027	

OR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval. Q1, Quartile 1; Q2, Quartile 2; Q3, Quartile 3; Q4, Quartile 4. GNRI, geriatric nutritional risk index; SIRI, systemic inflammation response index. Model 5 adjust for: gender; age; race; education level; PIR; BMI; physical activity duration; smoking status; drinking status; diabetes; hypertension; hypercholesterolemia; heart attack; copper intake/total energy intake; prescription medication use; dietary supplements. Copper intake/total energy intake: the proportion of metal intake relative to total energy intake, to further consider the confounding effects of total energy intake.

OR, odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; PIR, poverty-income ratio; BMI, body mass index.

**SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 6.** The Associations of Dietary Magnesium Intake, Along with GNRI and SIRI, with Stroke Risk.

Exposure	OR (95% CI), p -value	
	Model 6	
<b>Ln-magnesium</b>	0.732 (0.567, 0.945)	0.017
<b>Ln-magnesium quartile</b>		
Q1	Ref	
Q2	0.751 (0.588, 0.958)	0.021
Q3	0.909 (0.706, 1.171)	0.461
Q4	0.761 (0.565, 1.024)	0.071
P for trend	0.172	
<b>SIRI</b>	0.002	
<b>GNRI</b>	0.012	
<b>GNRI categorical</b>		
< 98	Ref	
≥ 98	0.029	

OR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval. Q1, Quartile 1; Q2, Quartile 2; Q3, Quartile 3; Q4, Quartile 4. GNRI, geriatric nutritional risk index; SIRI, systemic inflammation response index. Model 6 adjust for: gender; age; race; education level; PIR; BMI; physical activity duration; smoking status; drinking status; diabetes; hypertension; hypercholesterolemia; heart attack; magnesium intake/total energy intake; prescription medication use; dietary supplements. Magnesium intake/total energy intake: the proportion of metal intake relative to total energy intake, to further consider the confounding effects of total energy intake.

OR, odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; PIR, poverty-income ratio; BMI, body mass index.

**SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 7.** The Associations Between Dietary Copper Intake and SIRI.

Exposure	$\beta$ (95% CI), <i>p</i> -value
	Model 5
Ln-copper	-0.074 (-0.140, -0.008) 0.029
Ln-copper quartile	
Q1	Ref
Q2	-0.018 (-0.089, 0.052) 0.608
Q3	-0.079 (-0.151, -0.007) 0.032
Q4	-0.082 (-0.160, -0.005) 0.037
<i>p</i> for trend	0.013

$\beta$ ,  $\beta$  coefficients; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval. Q1, Quartile 1; Q2, Quartile 2; Q3, Quartile 3; Q4, Quartile 4. SIRI, systemic inflammation response index. Model 5 adjust for: gender; age; race; education level; PIR; BMI; physical activity duration; smoking status; drinking status; diabetes; hypertension; hypercholesterolemia; heart attack; copper intake/total energy intake; prescription medication use; dietary supplements. Copper intake/total energy intake: the proportion of metal intake relative to total energy intake, to further consider the confounding effects of total energy intake.  
CI: confidence interval; PIR, poverty-income ratio; BMI, body mass index.

**SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 8.** The Associations Between Dietary Magnesium Intake and SIRI.

Exposure	$\beta$ (95% CI), <i>p</i> -value
	Model 6
Ln-magnesium	-0.185 (-0.276, -0.095) < 0.001
Ln-magnesium quartile	
Q1	Ref
Q2	-0.052 (-0.125, 0.022) 0.168
Q3	-0.134 (-0.213, -0.054) 0.001
Q4	-0.150 (-0.245, -0.054) 0.002
<i>p</i> for trend	< 0.001

$\beta$ ,  $\beta$  coefficients; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval. Q1, Quartile 1; Q2, Quartile 2; Q3, Quartile 3; Q4, Quartile 4. SIRI, systemic inflammation response index. Model 6 adjust for: gender; age; race; education level; PIR; BMI; physical activity duration; smoking status; drinking status; diabetes; hypertension; hypercholesterolemia; heart attack; magnesium intake/total energy intake; prescription medication use; dietary supplements. Magnesium intake/total energy intake: the proportion of metal intake relative to total energy intake, to further consider the confounding effects of total energy intake.  
PIR, poverty-income ratio; BMI, body mass index.

**SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 9.** The Associations Between Dietary Copper Intake and GNRI.

Exposure	$\beta$ (95% CI), <i>p</i> -value
	Model 5
Ln-copper	0.300 (0.015, 0.584) 0.039
Ln-copper quartile	
Q1	Ref
Q2	0.174 (-0.130, 0.478) 0.261
Q3	0.336 (0.025, 0.647) 0.034
Q4	0.251 (-0.081, 0.583) 0.138
<i>p</i> for trend	0.083

$\beta$ ,  $\beta$  coefficients; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval. Q1, Quartile 1; Q2, Quartile 2; Q3, Quartile 3; Q4, Quartile 4. GNRI, geriatric nutritional risk index. Model 5 adjust for: gender; age; race; education level; PIR; BMI; physical activity duration; smoking status; drinking status; diabetes; hypertension; hypercholesterolemia; heart attack; copper intake/total energy intake; prescription medication use; dietary supplements. Copper intake/total energy intake: the proportion of metal intake relative to total energy intake, to further consider the confounding effects of total energy intake.  
PIR, poverty-income ratio; BMI, body mass index.

**SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 10.** The Associations Between Dietary Magnesium Intake and GNRI.

Exposure	$\beta$ (95% CI), <i>p</i> -value
	Model 6
<b>Ln-magnesium</b>	1.857 (1.470, 2.244) < 0.001
<b>Ln-magnesium quartile</b>	
Q1	Ref
Q2	0.557 (0.243, 0.872) < 0.001
Q3	1.014 (0.672, 1.356) < 0.001
Q4	1.394 (0.984, 1.804) < 0.001
<i>p</i> for trend	< 0.001

$\beta$ ,  $\beta$  coefficients; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval. Q1, Quartile 1; Q2, Quartile 2; Q3, Quartile 3; Q4, Quartile 4. GNRI, geriatric nutritional risk index. Model 6 adjust for: gender; age; race; education level; PIR; BMI; physical activity duration; smoking status; drinking status; diabetes; hypertension; hypercholesterolemia; heart attack; magnesium intake/total energy intake; prescription medication use; dietary supplements. Magnesium intake/total energy intake: the proportion of metal intake relative to total energy intake, to further consider the confounding effects of total energy intake.

PIR, poverty-income ratio; BMI, body mass index.

**SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 11.** The Associations of Dietary Copper and Magnesium Intake, Along with GNRI and SIRI, with Stroke Risk.

Exposure	OR (95% CI), <i>p</i> -value			
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
<b>Ln-Copper</b>	0.624 (0.512, 0.760) < 0.001	0.721 (0.585, 0.888) 0.002	0.743 (0.603, 0.914) 0.005	0.764 (0.618, 0.943) 0.012
<b>Ln-copper quartile</b>				
Q1	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Q2	0.752 (0.595, 0.949) 0.016	0.813 (0.642, 1.031) 0.087	0.829 (0.654, 1.051) 0.121	0.838 (0.658, 1.066) 0.150
Q3	0.769 (0.610, 0.969) 0.026	0.860 (0.677, 1.092) 0.216	0.887 (0.697, 1.128) 0.327	0.921 (0.721, 1.175) 0.506
Q4	0.563 (0.438, 0.723) < 0.001	0.679 (0.522, 0.885) 0.004	0.698 (0.536, 0.909) 0.008	0.731 (0.559, 0.956) 0.022
<i>p</i> for trend	< 0.001	0.009	0.018	0.053
<b>Ln-magnesium</b>	0.550 (0.444, 0.681) < 0.001	0.664 (0.528, 0.837) < 0.001	0.690 (0.548, 0.870) 0.002	0.726 (0.573, 0.920) 0.008
<b>Ln-magnesium quartile</b>				
Q1	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Q2	0.621 (0.490, 0.787) < 0.001	0.651 (0.512, 0.828) < 0.001	0.665 (0.522, 0.846) < 0.001	0.673 (0.527, 0.859) 0.001
Q3	0.730 (0.581, 0.916) 0.007	0.831 (0.657, 1.052) 0.124	0.856 (0.676, 1.085) 0.198	0.886 (0.697, 1.127) 0.326
Q4	0.521 (0.406, 0.668) < 0.001	0.643 (0.494, 0.837) 0.001	0.668 (0.513, 0.870) 0.003	0.715 (0.547, 0.935) 0.014
<i>p</i> for trend	< 0.001	0.007	0.016	0.066
<b>SIRI</b>	1.169 (1.102, 1.239) < 0.001	1.161 (1.093, 1.233) < 0.001	1.149 (1.082, 1.221) < 0.001	1.109 (1.042, 1.179) 0.001
<b>GNRI</b>	0.959 (0.942, 0.976) < 0.001	0.968 (0.951, 0.986) < 0.001	0.972 (0.954, 0.990) 0.002	0.976 (0.959, 0.994) 0.010
<b>GNRI categorical</b>				
< 98	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
≥ 98	0.557 (0.434, 0.716) < 0.001	0.634 (0.491, 0.819) < 0.001	0.670 (0.517, 0.869) 0.002	0.744 (0.571, 0.968) 0.028

OR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval. Q1, Quartile 1; Q2, Quartile 2; Q3, Quartile 3; Q4, Quartile 4. GNRI, geriatric nutritional risk index; SIRI, systemic inflammation response index. Model 1 adjust for: none. Model 2 adjust for: gender; age; race; education level; and PIR. Model 3 adjust for: gender; age; race; education level; PIR; BMI; physical activity duration; smoking status; drinking status. Model 4 adjust for: gender; age; race; education level; PIR; BMI; physical activity duration; smoking status; drinking status; diabetes; hypertension; hypercholesterolemia; heart attack.

PIR, poverty-income ratio; BMI, body mass index.

**SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 12.** The Associations Between Dietary Copper and Magnesium Intake and GNRI.

Exposure	$\beta$ (95% CI), <i>p</i> -value			
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
<b>Ln-copper</b>	1.021 (0.778, 1.264) < 0.001	0.541 (0.288, 0.795) < 0.001	0.497 (0.246, 0.748) < 0.001	0.455 (0.205, 0.706) < 0.001
<b>Ln-copper quartile</b>				
Q1	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Q2	0.481 (0.171, 0.791) 0.002	0.238 (-0.070, 0.546) 0.130	0.255 (-0.049, 0.560) 0.101	0.222 (-0.082, 0.526) 0.152
Q3	0.863 (0.554, 1.172) < 0.001	0.454 (0.142, 0.767) 0.004	0.432 (0.122, 0.741) 0.006	0.388 (0.079, 0.696) 0.014
Q4	1.130 (0.820, 1.439) < 0.001	0.511 (0.190, 0.832) 0.002	0.486 (0.168, 0.804) 0.003	0.435 (0.118, 0.753) 0.007
<b>p for trend</b>	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.002	0.004
<b>Ln-magnesium</b>	1.473 (1.201, 1.746) < 0.001	0.869 (0.580, 1.158) < 0.001	0.804 (0.517, 1.091) < 0.001	0.747 (0.460, 1.034) < 0.001
<b>Ln-magnesium quartile</b>				
Q1	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Q2	0.563 (0.254, 0.872) < 0.001	0.341 (0.033, 0.648) 0.030	0.305 (0.001, 0.609) 0.049	0.276 (-0.027, 0.579) 0.074
Q3	1.089 (0.781, 1.398) < 0.001	0.660 (0.347, 0.973) < 0.001	0.596 (0.286, 0.906) < 0.001	0.549 (0.240, 0.859) < 0.001
Q4	1.384 (1.076, 1.693) < 0.001	0.721 (0.399, 1.044) < 0.001	0.650 (0.329, 0.970) < 0.001	0.594 (0.274, 0.914) < 0.001
<b>p for trend</b>	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001

$\beta$ ,  $\beta$  coefficients; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval. Q1, Quartile 1; Q2, Quartile 2; Q3, Quartile 3; Q4, Quartile 4. Model 1 adjust for: none. Model 2 adjust for: gender; age; race; education level; and PIR. Model 3 adjust for: gender; age; race; education level; PIR; BMI; physical activity duration; smoking status; drinking status. Model 4 adjust for: gender; age; race; education level; PIR; BMI; physical activity duration; smoking status; drinking status; diabetes; hypertension; hypercholesterolemia; heart attack. PIR, poverty-income ratio; BMI, body mass index; GNRI, geriatric nutritional risk index.

**SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 13.** The Associations Between Dietary Copper and Magnesium Intake and SIRI.

Exposure	$\beta$ (95% CI), <i>p</i> -value			
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
<b>Ln-copper</b>	0.012 (-0.046, 0.071) 0.674	-0.103 (-0.161, -0.044) < 0.001	-0.091 (-0.150, -0.033) 0.002	-0.082 (-0.141, -0.024) 0.006
<b>Ln-copper quartile</b>				
Q1	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Q2	0.025 (-0.049, 0.099) 0.507	-0.025 (-0.096, 0.046) 0.492	-0.020 (-0.091, 0.051) 0.579	-0.015 (-0.086, 0.056) 0.680
Q3	0.015 (-0.059, 0.088) 0.699	-0.092 (-0.165, -0.020) 0.012	-0.081 (-0.153, -0.008) 0.029	-0.072 (-0.144, 0.000) 0.050
Q4	0.017 (-0.057, 0.091) 0.656	-0.112 (-0.186, -0.037) 0.003	-0.101 (-0.175, -0.026) 0.008	-0.088 (-0.162, -0.014) 0.020
<b>p for trend</b>	0.737	< 0.001	0.003	0.007
<b>Ln-magnesium</b>	0.032 (-0.033, 0.097) 0.335	-0.115 (-0.182, -0.048) < 0.001	-0.100 (-0.167, -0.032) 0.004	-0.084 (-0.151, -0.017) 0.014
<b>Ln-magnesium quartile</b>				
Q1	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Q2	0.033 (-0.041, 0.107) 0.387	-0.035 (-0.106, 0.037) 0.340	-0.027 (-0.098, 0.044) 0.450	-0.024 (-0.094, 0.047) 0.513
Q3	0.006 (-0.068, 0.080) 0.868	-0.108 (-0.180, -0.035) 0.004	-0.094 (-0.166, -0.022) 0.011	-0.084 (-0.156, -0.012) 0.023
Q4	0.051 (-0.023, 0.125) 0.176	-0.102 (-0.177, -0.027) 0.007	-0.086 (-0.161, -0.011) 0.025	-0.069 (-0.144, 0.005) 0.069
<b>p for trend</b>	0.287	0.002	0.008	0.027

$\beta$ ,  $\beta$  coefficients; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval. Q1, Quartile 1; Q2, Quartile 2; Q3, Quartile 3; Q4, Quartile 4. Model 1 adjust for: none. Model 2 adjust for: gender; age; race; education level; and PIR. Model 3 adjust for: gender; age; race; education level; PIR; BMI; physical activity duration; smoking status; drinking status. Model 4 adjust for: gender; age; race; education level; PIR; BMI; physical activity duration; smoking status; drinking status; diabetes; hypertension; hypercholesterolemia; heart attack. PIR, poverty-income ratio; BMI, body mass index; SIRI, systemic inflammation response index.