Rediscovery of a Forgotten Disease: Hereditary Angioedema
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Abstract

HAE is a rare hereditary disease that causes recurrent angioedema attacks. Attacks are often disturbing. However, it can sometimes cause death due to laryngeal edema. The disease is often not considered, and therefore the diagnosis of patients is often delayed. Although it was first described in the 19th century, pathogenesis was understood at the end of the 20th century. With the understanding of its pathogenesis, improvements in the diagnosis and treatment of the disease in the last 20 years led to a significant improvement in patients’ prognosis, and quality of life.
The Anatomy of a Trajectory

Angioedema is an ambiguous term. It defines a clinical phenotype characterized by localized and self-limiting edema of the subcutaneous and submucosal tissue caused by a temporary release of the vasoactive mediators and the resulting increase of the vascular permeability. Most often, angioedema coexists with wheals, either during anaphylactic reactions or in patients with chronic urticaria. Less frequently, however, this phenotype appears repeatedly in the absence of significant wheals, and then the term angioedema signifies a distinct disease. The current classification and nomenclature of angioedema without wheals (1, 2) have evolved over the last centuries with many detours and problems complicating understanding this interesting condition (3, 4). Firstly, many different names had been used for its description because of changes in the understanding of its pathogenesis and underlying causes. Secondly, but most importantly, as it is well known nowadays, angioedema without wheals represents a heterogeneous group of clinically indistinguishable diseases of genetic or acquired etiology. Interestingly, evidence accumulating during the last decades indicates that different endotypes might underlie the various hereditary or acquired forms of angioedema. Lastly, the genetic basis of many hereditary types of angioedema remains undetermined. It is therefore characterized as unknown, while the etiology of some acquired forms is still undetectable, imposing their definition as idiopathic.

Starting the story, Anna Barnett began her 1948 article entitled "Hereditary Angioneurotic Edema: A Remarkable Family History" (5) with the following description of a case of recurrent angioedema from a book published by Franz Anton Mai in 1777:

"A worthy gentleman had attained his 62nd year, awoke one morning with a remarkably puffy face. The lips and eyelids were unbelievably swollen as if he had been stung by a bee or a wasp. The swelling was lymphatic, without noticeable inflammation, and entirely without pain, burning, or itching. The swelling had completely disappeared by afternoon; it had left the face and descended to the scrotum. The patient felt the arrival of the new swelling as a brief, sharp sting...whole scrotum was swollen...like a monstrous hydrocele... Inside of five or six hours, the malevolent trouble disappeared and flew to the tongue. The tongue could no longer find room in the throat. It swelled out through the lips and filled all who saw it with pity and fear... The swelling remained stubborn, changing its place every day. Now it was the left, now the right ear, now both; now the eyelids, or the forehead, now the arm. This remarkable and dangerous evil, might perhaps have killed the patient in spite of his sound heart. A consultant suggested that the cause of the frequent relapses might be found in the digestive tract."

Aside from a case of urticaria and angioedema associated with egg allergy reported by Marcello Donati (6), this patient can be considered the first case of recurrent angioedema to be documented in modern medicine with fairly well described clinical features (7). Although there is no known publication from the 17th century, in 1967 Arnoldsson et al. (8) were able to access records of "hereditary periodic oedema" dating back to the 17th-century uninterrupted church records of a family with angioedema. After whom a form of hyperthyroidism was named (Graves' disease), Robert James Graves described another case of episodic angioedema in 1843 (9). In 1876, John Laws Milton named this situation as "giant urticaria" in a woman with swelling so extensive that it completely covered both of her eyes (10). Eugen Dinkelacker, a student of Heinrich Ireanus Quincke, presented his doctoral thesis examining 14 cases of angioedema described as having acute-onset and well-defined swelling. In his dissertation, he cited 12 previous publications as references. Immediately afterward, in 1882, Quincke published a summary of that study but did not mention the earlier papers (11). From that date on, the disease began to be referred to as Quincke's edema. In later case reports, the term "angioneurotic edema" started to be used to claim that the
condition was related to "neurotic effects." Paul Strübing first proposed this name in 1885 (12). In 1888, Sir William Osler's article entitled "Hereditary Angioneurotic Edema" was published, highlighting the hereditary nature of the disease (13). In this article, Osler describes a 24-year-old woman who had experienced recurrent episodes of swelling involving the extremities, hips, genitals, and pharynx since childhood. He stated that 28 other family members spanning five generations had the same symptoms and that two had died due to asphyxiation. Osler emphasized three crucial features of the disease: local swelling of various parts of the body, frequent gastrointestinal involvement, and a marked hereditary tendency. In 1917, Crowder and Crowder examined the inheritance patterns of a family over five generations and reported that this disease was consistent with an autosomal dominant inheritance model (14).

Although case series continued to be published in subsequent years, no significant progress in clarifying the disease's pathogenesis was achieved for quite some time. After World War II, studies in immunology and hematology began to elucidate the enzymatic interactions between the complement cascade and intrinsic coagulation components and non-histamine-induced serum permeability factors. Within a decade, a series of advances such as the discovery of serum permeability factor, pathways for Hageman factor activation, and the functions of complement serine protease and its inhibitor were reported in quick succession. In 1961, Irwin H. Lepow and his group (15) discovered the enzyme that inhibits the first component of the complement system (C1) and called it C1-esterase inhibitor (the name used for C1-INH at that time), marking a new milestone. Following all of these studies, Landerman et al. (16) focused on the similarities and differences between allergic and nonallergic types and the hereditary and non-hereditary types of angioedema. This revealed clinical clues that would assist clinicians. Nathaniel Landerman, Virginia Donaldson, and Oscar Ratnoff demonstrated a permeability factor and a factor that inhibits kallikrein in these patients. This finding was important because it was the first to point out kallikrein's role, a potent vascular permeability factor from the contact system, in hereditary angioedema (HAE).

Within a short time, Donaldson and Evans demonstrated that the early complement enzyme C1-esterase is an essential mediator of vascular permeability in HAE based on the biochemical absence of C1-esterase inhibitor in patients with HAE (17, 18). The researchers showed that a patient, previously known to have kallikrein inhibitor deficiency, also lacked C1-inhibitor, and speculated based on this finding that C1-inhibitor and kallikrein inhibitor were closely associated. A few years later, Donaldson et al. showed that the permeability-enhancing factor in the plasma of patients with HAE also had kinin-like effects (16, 17). Although this kinin was similar to kallidin and bradykinin, its proteolysis by trypsin was a distinguishing feature.

In 1965, a few years after the biochemical defect in HAE was demonstrated, Rosen et al. reported a family with normal serum C1-INH levels but impaired inhibition (19). As other similar cases were reported, two different types of HAE were recognized: HAE Type I, in which there is low or no antigen and low or no esterolytic activity, and HAE type II, in which antigen levels are normal or even high but functional activity is substantially reduced. Those were the golden years when we came to understand how the disease develops. Schapira et al. showed that plasma prekallikrein and high-molecular-weight kininogen were consumed during HAE attacks (20). Allen Kaplan and his group demonstrated the relationship between the complement and contact systems by showing that FXII activation by prekallikrein further activated C1r and prekallikrein (21). After the purification of C1-INH, amino acid sequencing studies were also started. In 1986, Bock et al. published the peptide and amino acid sequences of the entire molecule (22). A comparison of the amino acid and complementary DNA sequences revealed that C1-inhibitor is a member of the large serine protease inhibitor (SERPING1) family. Theriault et al. used in situ hybridization to map the C1-inhibitor gene
to chromosome 11 at the q11-q13.1 position and Janson et al. to the 11q12-q13.1 position (23). However, despite these developments in determining HAE pathophysiology, it was not until 1998, 110 years after the disease was first described, that Nussburger et al. (24) were able to show that bradykinin was the mediator that caused increased vascular permeability as a result of contact system activation.

**Deciphering the Complexity**

At the end of the last century, efforts towards understanding angioedema without wheals had concluded that it was almost equivalent to the hereditary deficiency of C1-INH. Only a few cases of acquired deficiency of C1-INH had been described after the identification by Caldwell et al. (25) of an angioedema patient in whom the C1-INH deficiency was not hereditary but related to concomitant lymphosarcoma. Similarly, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEi), which encompass angioedema as a side-effect, were invented just in 1980 and, due to the low incidence of this side-effect (<1% of treated subjects), the acquired form of angioedema related to ACEi had not yet attracted the attention of the researchers (26). Nevertheless, hereditary angioedema due to C1-INH deficiency (HAE-C1-INH) had served as nature's experiment that taught us much of what we know about the plasma contact system (27). In parallel, various regulatory incentives in both the European Union countries and the USA that stimulated the research on rare diseases lead to the development of an abundance of medicinal products for HAE, which may not exist for any other rare disease (28).

The event that thereafter set the pace of angioedema research was the description in 2000 by two independent groups of families with HAE in which the affected individuals had entirely normal C1-INH level and function (HAE-nC1-INH) (29, 30). After that, indirect evidence was accumulated, indicating that this form of HAE may also be a bradykinin-mediated disease. At the same time, in 2006, Dewald and Bork (31) discovered that some HAE with normal C1-INH families had mutations in exon 9 of the FXII gene, which encodes for the FXII coagulation factor (Hageman factor). However, only a small proportion of HAE-nC1-INH cases (up to 25% in Europe) were finally attributable to FXII mutations (32). During the next years, the advent of high-throughput next-generation sequencing (NGS) technologies accelerated the coverage of the missing part of HAE-nC1-INH genetics, and HAE-nC1-INH was further fragmented by the discovery of four novel target genes (ANGPT1, PLG, KNG1, and MYOF) (33) the list of which is expected to grow up during the forthcoming years (34). A most important contribution of these discoveries was the broadening of our concept of HAE pathophysiology from an exclusive focus on the contact system to the inclusion of the vascular bed itself (35). Elegant studies provide convincing evidence that HAE is a model of the so-named paroxysmal permeability disorders characterized by an impairment of the endothelial barrier function (36). However, the new players (like ANGPT1 and MYOF), their relations with bradykinin signaling, and the new gene variants' precise pathogenic mechanisms remain to be elucidated.

The comprehensive implementation of NGS technologies also accelerated the SERPING1 gene's study uncovering variants causal for HAE-C1-INH that were undetectable by conventional genotyping. Thus, up to now, a total of 750 distinct SERPING1 variants related to HAE-C1-INH have been reported, amongst which there are two novel pathogenic deep intronic variants (37-39). Studies of mutated C1-INH have shed light on many unresolved issues of the disease, like the old finding that most HAE-C1-INH type I patients present C1-INH plasma despite heterozygotes levels often around 20% of normal (40). Very recently, Haslund et al. (41) have shown that in a subset of HAE-C1-INH patients, mutated C1-INH acts upon wildtype C1-INH in a dominant-negative manner and forms intracellular C1-INH aggregates, which lead to a reduction in the levels of secreted functional C1-INH. A problem arising from the use of NGS in the diagnostic context
is the confirmation of the pathogenicity of SERPING1 variants that sometimes is very difficult to be confirmed by family segregation or functional studies. It is, therefore, imperative for those involved in the genetic diagnosis of HAE to report SERPING1 variants and the data supporting their pathogenicity in public databases, like ClinVar, etc. Another area of particular progress during the last decade was the development of biochemical biomarkers for HAE's diagnosis and prognosis. At least four assays for the diagnosis of HAE are ready to enter the market, namely the cleavage of high molecular weight kininogen in patient's plasma (42), the spontaneous amidase activity (43), the threshold-stimulated kallikrein assay (44), and, most recently, the fragmentation patterns of serum glycoprotein 120 (45). Great efforts towards discovering more specific biochemical biomarkers for HAE are based on the continuously accumulating knowledge of the contact system biology (46). However, despite the urgent need for biomarkers to accompany clinical trials and enable personalized medicine, a striking discrepancy exists between the effort directed toward discovering biochemical biomarkers for HAE and the number of them with a promising applicability clinical utility.

**The Dark Side**

The enormous progress made towards understanding the pathophysiology of angioedema without wheals uncovered at the same time a series of issues necessitating further clarification. The main problem in the management of angioedema is that, despite the rich armamentarium of therapeutic agents, a significant proportion of HAE patients continues to be treated on a trial-and-error basis due to the absence of definite indications (47). Thus, while healthcare costs of angioedema patients continuously escalate, many patients still report poor disease control, followed by substantial morbidity, psychological stress, decreased productivity, and low quality of life (48). This is a direct consequence of the fact that no convincing interpretation has been provided for the extensive clinical variability of the disease. As it is well known, features of the disease, like the age of disease onset, frequency, and triggers of attacks, severity, and localization of edema, prodromal signs, and symptoms and the need for long-term treatment, vary broadly even amongst members of the same family sharing the same mutation (49, 50).

Several factors have been considered responsible for the variable penetrance and expressivity of HAE. Environmental factors had been very early blamed (51), but strong evidence of their effect on the stability and the functional activity of some C1-INH mutants has been provided only recently (52). Another explanation of HAE's expression variability is the effect of genes, called modifier genes, which can change the phenotypic outcome of the primary mutation in the causal gene (53). The functional promoter polymorphism F12-46C/T and the functional polymorphism KLKB1-428G/A have been shown associated with a significant delay in the onset of HAE-C1-INH and a significantly lower probability of the need for long-term prophylaxis (LTP) (54, 55). Moreover, the F12-46C/T polymorphism's TT genotype has been significantly associated with a 25-fold lower risk of developing symptoms of HAE-C1-INH (56). The lower translation efficacy of the F12-46C/T polymorphism results in decreased FXII plasma levels and, given the importance of FXII in the bradykinin-forming cascade, justifies its protective role in HAE. However, mice deficient in FXII still generate 50% bradykinin under baseline conditions (57), a fact signifying that there are FXII-independent factors to generate bradykinin (58, 59) that deserve attention as clinical disease modifiers in HAE. For example, aminopeptidase-P activity, which inactivates bradykinin, has been correlated inversely to disease severity in HAE-C1-INH (60). Generally, enzymes that regulate the breakdown of bradykinin are suspected disease modifiers (61).

Notwithstanding the knowledge achieved about the plasma contact or kallikrein-kinin system and the role of bradykinin in HAE-C1-INH, a gap still remains regarding understanding its clinical phenotype on a molecular level. Even though during HAE attacks there is an
uncontrolled production of bradykinin by plasma contact system and an increase of bradykinin in the bloodstream, general vascular leakage or edema is not an accompanying symptom, as it was expected (62-65). Instead, HAE attacks are characterized by self-limiting swelling restricted to a particular location of the body. Two opposing models are attempting to provide a solution to this problem.

The first of them assumes a systemic, fluid-phase activation of the contact system and the interaction of generated bradykinin and its byproducts with locally expressed endothelial receptors (B1R) rather than with receptors continuously expressed by the endothelium throughout the vasculature (B2R) (59, 66). More specifically, this model proposes that bradykinin is not directly responsible for edema formation but, along with other inflammatory stimuli (e.g., cytokines), functions only as a stimulator of B1R on the vascular endothelium. Swelling results from prolonged vasodilatation induced, thereafter, by the interaction of up-regulated B1R with des-arg9-bradykinin, a breakdown product of bradykinin. This model explains why swellings can occur at multiple sites during the same HAE attack (67), possibly preceded by muscle aches, rash, and fatigue (68, 69). On the other side, the absence of hypotension during HAE attacks and, more importantly, the efficacy of the selective B2R antagonist icatibant in HAE-C1-INH argue against this model.

Alternatively, an excessive bradykinin production by the swelled tissues per se is proposed, suggesting that swelling HAE attacks are localized events rather than a generalized phenomenon (51, 59). According to this model, various receptors (uPAR, gc1qR, etc.) recruit and activate factors of the contact system in the vascular endothelium as a response to (yet incompletely recognized) danger signals from the underlying tissue (70). This facilitates the enzymatic crosstalk and the generation of bradykinin, and when it is insufficiently controlled, like in HAE, it may result in swelling. Indeed, it is well known that viral and bacterial infections activate the contact system, and the resulting bradykinin generation and increase of endothelial cell permeability can be blocked by an FXII inhibitor or B2R antagonist (71, 72). Moreover, recent evidence indicates that the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) could trigger local stimulation of the endothelial cells and bradykinin production (73, 74).

In conclusion, significant advances made during the last decade towards understanding HAE pathogenesis uncovered the disease's fundamental biological aspects that require further elucidation to reconcile with clinical data. Such an attempt would be proved valuable for the management of HAE and more common disorders where relevant mechanisms contribute to their pathogenesis.
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